

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/797,579

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Yewebdar T. Tadesse

Art Unit

1734

## All Participants:

(1) Yewebdar T. Tadesse.

(2) John Bird.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 6 August 2007

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: It was agreed that claim 11 is allowable per telephone conversation of 08/02/2007, however claim 11 is found to be rejectable in view of one of the recently filed references (JP-U. Model 2-137029 IDS filed on 06/06/2007). Examiner notified the attorney that a Final action will be issued in response to the appeal brief.